



National Nuclear Security Administration Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



NEPA ID#: HEDLP 15-001-001

Proposed Action Title: USAF NLVF Project (NV-2011-022)

Program or Field Office: Nevada Field Office

Location(s) (City/County/State): North Las Vegas Facility, North Las Vegas, NV Clark County NV

Proposed Action Description:

This checklist covers the installation of a modular building, and the subsequent use of that building.

National Security Technologies, LLC (NSTec), would provide support services necessary to enable the bed-down of USAF modular buildings at the southeast corner of the North Las Vegas Facility A compound. A clear portion in the parking area would be provided to allow room for the USAF modular building, a security perimeter, a buffer zone, and appropriate space for construction lay-down and work area. The bed-down would consist of seven mobile modular buildings. These modular buildings would be connected to form one larger building, which when occupied would be used only for administrative office space. The building would be anchored and skirted, and connected to the existing water, sewer, power, and telecommunication services.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

B-1.15 - Siting/Construction/Operation of support buildings support structures

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation)

The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action and that other-regulatory requirements set forth above are met. Therefore, the application of a categorical exclusion is appropriate.

NEPA Compliance Officer: Linda Cohn

Date Determined: 4/12/2011