



# National Nuclear Security Administration Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



NEPA ID#: HEDLP 15-001-001

---

Proposed Action Title: Advanced Technologies (ATech) Training (NV-2013-023)

Program or Field Office: Nevada Field Office

Location(s) (City/County/State): RSLN Classroom, and for drill NLVF; CC Fire Academy, LV Speedway, US&RSF Las Vegas/Clark County/NV

---

Proposed Action Description:

The Nuclear Radiological Advisory Team -West (NRAT-W) would conduct the advanced Technologies training for members of the Radiological Assistance program (RAP) community. NRAT-W personnel and associated equipment would be utilized in support of the event held at the Remote Sensing Laboratory-Nellis (RSL-N).

This advanced course would be presented to enhance RAP knowledge of a certain software application that aids in responding to radiological events. This week-long training would incorporate multiple search drills to implement the fundamentals taught in the training. Training would include mini drills to take place in the afternoon on August 27, 2013 at the Las Vegas Motor Speedway; Clark County Fire Training Facility; Urban Search and Rescue Training Facility (US&RTF); and NSTec Losee Road Facility. The primary focus at all four locations would be mobile detection and search operation using the software application as the interface to the search equipment. The rest of the training would be held at the RSL-N classroom setting.

---

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

10CFR1021, B-1.2-Training exercises and simulations

---

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation)

The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451. 1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action and that other-regulatory requirements set forth above are met. Therefore, the application of a categorical exclusion is appropriate.

NEPA Compliance Officer: Linda Cohn

Date Determined: 9/05/2013