



National Nuclear Security Administration Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



NEPA ID#: HEDLP 15-001-001

Proposed Action Title: Radiological Emergency Response Training and Radiological Instrumentation Testing (NV-2014-003)

Program or Field Office: Nevada Field Office

Location(s) (City/County/State): National Guard Armory & MP Prison Las Vegas/Clark County/ NV

Proposed Action Description:

The Remote Sensing Laboratory-Nellis (RSLN) would conduct many different activities at the National Guard Armory and Military Police (MP) Prison located in Las Vegas, Nevada, as listed below:

Radiological Emergency Response Training would be conducted by RSLN for various organizations including RSLN personnel. The majority of training occurs at RSLN; however, the Armory would be used to instruct students in radiological instrumentation and software application. This includes actual ground search and use of government vehicles to search. Part of the training would involve placing Radiological Sources in approved locations at the Armory. Field work would involve students using the radiological instrumentation to find and categorize the radiological sources.

RSLN would also conduct Aerial Measurement System training. The testing platform would be placed on aircraft flying over the Armory and MP Prison to detect Radiological Sources using radiological instrumentation. Once again radiological sources would be placed in approved locations at the Armory.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

B1 .2- Training exercises and simulations

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation)

The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451. 1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action and that other-regulatory requirements set forth above are met. Therefore, the application of a categorical exclusion is appropriate.

NEPA Compliance Officer: Linda Cohn

Date Determined: 11/13/2013