



National Nuclear Security Administration Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



NEPA ID#: HEDLP 15-001-001

Proposed Action Title: Energy Way Roadway Renovation and Canopy (NV-2014-016)

Program or Field Office: Nevada Field Office

Location(s) (City/County/State): North Las Vegas / Clark County / NV

Proposed Action Description:

This project involves renovation of Energy Way pavement from Losee Rd. to the Building 8-3 parking lot at the NNSA North Las Vegas (NLV) facility. This also includes the 8-3 Badge Office parking lot. The existing asphalt pavement would be removed and replaced with new asphalt. A canopy would be installed over the inbound traffic lane closest to the existing guard station to provide shelter from the weather elements.

The purpose of this project is to rehabilitate the deteriorated Energy Way pavement and to provide shelter for the security force at the Energy Way gate. The work would be performed by a subcontractor and would be expected to take approximately 4 weeks.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

10 CFR 1021-B-2.5: Facility safety and environmental improvements

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation)

The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451. 1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action and that other-regulatory requirements set forth above are met. Therefore, the application of a categorical exclusion is appropriate.

NEPA Compliance Officer: Linda Cohn

Date Determined: 4/03/2014