



# National Nuclear Security Administration Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



NEPA ID#: HEDLP 15-001-001

---

Proposed Action Title: Mercury Asbestos Sampling (NV-2017-059)

Program or Field Office: Nevada Field Office

Location(s) (City/County/State): Nevada National Security Site (NNSS), Nye County NV

---

Proposed Action Description:

Non-destructive asbestos sampling and analysis would be performed at the following buildings in Mercury (Area 23), located at the Nevada National Security Site (NNSS): 23-517, 23-B/C/D, 23-425, 23-426, 23-152, 23-475, 23-476, 23-477, 23-478, 23-479, 23-480, 23-481, 23-482, 23-483, 23-484.

Sampling would consist of scraping small paint chips, or taking small pieces of material from walls, roofs, insulation, or other materials. The structural integrity or safety of the buildings would not be compromised. Samples would be sent offsite for laboratory analysis.

A map is included at the end of this checklist showing the building locations. Buildings subject to asbestos sampling are shown in shaded areas.

---

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

10 CFR 1021: B3.1 Site Characterization and Environmental Monitoring

---

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation)

The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action and that other-regulatory requirements set forth above are met. Therefore, the application of a categorical exclusion is appropriate.

NEPA Compliance Officer: Carrie Stewart

Date Determined: August 8, 2017