

MISSION SUPPORT AND TEST SERVICES, LLC
UNDER PRIME CONTRACT DE-NA0003624 WITH THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
ACTING THROUGH
THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
POST OFFICE BOX 98521
LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8521

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

DATE OF AMENDMENT: October 13, 2025

NUMBER: 0019949-AJ-25, Amendment 003
0019957-AJ-25, Amendment 002
0019964-AJ-25, Amendment 002
0019967-AJ-25, Amendment 002
0019968-AJ-25, Amendment 002

IMPORTANT: PROPOSALS WILL BE RECEIVED UNTIL OCTOBER 27, 2025, 10:00 AM LOCAL TIME. PROPOSALS ARE TO BE PROVIDED AS DETAILED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL.

INQUIRIES SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO: AMY JUSTICE, MISSION SUPPORT AND TEST SERVICES, LLC, NORTH LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TELEPHONE NUMBER (651) 303-9182, EMAIL; JUSTICAL@NV.DOE.GOV. IF SUCH INQUIRIES ARE OF A COMPLEX NATURE OR SCOPE, THEY SHOULD BE IN WRITING.

THIS AMENDMENT IS SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL'S (RFP):

- RFP NO. 0019949-AJ-25, DATED: SEPTEMBER 10, 2025 FOR THE PROVISION OF THE NEW MERCURY ESSENTIAL SERVICES COMPLEX DESIGN-BUILD CONSTRUCTION SERVICES MASTER AGREEMENT
- RFP NO. 0019957-AJ-25, DATED: SEPTEMBER 10, 2025 FOR THE PROVISION OF THE NEW MERCURY ESSENTIAL SERVICES COMPLEX PRECONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN
- RFP NO. 0019964-AJ-25, DATED: SEPTEMBER 10, 2025 FOR THE PROVISION OF THE NEW MERCURY ESSENTIAL SERVICES COMPLEX CIVIL DESIGN
- RFP NO. 0019967-AJ-25, DATED: SEPTEMBER 10, 2025 FOR THE PROVISION OF THE NEW MERCURY ESSENTIAL SERVICES COMPLEX CIVIL EXECUTION
- RFP NO. 0019968-AJ-25, DATED: SEPTEMBER 10, 2025 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE 23-434 COLLABORATION CENTER

THE AFOREMENTIONED RFP'S ARE HEREBY AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

This Amendment serves to provide response to questions received in support of the above Requests for Proposal as defined below. Note: Responses to Questions 1 – 10 can be found in Amendment 001 to the Master Agreement:

11. Question 11: We basically need Word documents of every form we have to submit.

MSTS Response to Question 11: Word documents have been provided for Offerors' use.

12. Question 12: There is no mention of the Letter of Assent in the RFP. A pdf copy of one for another project was issued. Ask if one will be required and if so, then need a Word document of it.

MSTS Response to Question 12: *This is Incorrect. The wrong version of the form was uploaded on 09/10/2025 but replaced with the correct version of the form on 09/11/2025. Notice was issued advising bidders of the correction on 09/11/2025. Information on the Letter of Assent can be found in Section 64, Collective Bargaining Agreements of Part C - Draft Master Agreement of RFP No. 0019949-AJ-25. In addition, it is referenced as an Attachment in RFP No. 0019949-AJ-25, RFP No. 0019967-AJ-25, and RFP No. 0019968-AJ-25. A word document of the form has been uploaded to BOX.Com in each respective RFP.*

13. Question 13: Each task order has an exhibit G. Need the Word document for each of them.

MSTS Response to Question 13: *Word documents have been provided for Offerors' use.*

14. Question 14: RFP 0019949-AJ-25 Master Agreement is the only one that asks for a technical and pricing volume with all of the above forms to be completed. Each task order does not and only ask for Key Personnel to be provided along with a signature acknowledging receipt of the Task Order Exhibit G. Is this correct and the only information that needs to be provided in each Task Order response is Exhibit G for each?

MSTS Response to Question 14: *This is incorrect. The Master Agreement requests each Bidder provide their approach and schedule to all tasks, including Task Order 1 - 4. The individual Task Orders request the Bidder to provide the Key Personnel proposed as well as a breakdown of the proposed costs for each Task (Reference Attachment 3 of each Task Order).*

15. Question 15: Can you please confirm (based on response at site walk) the layouts for the (3) buildings are NOT Proto-STAR design.

MSTS Response to Question 15: *The buildings part of the Essential Services Complex are not ProtoSTAR basis of design.*

16. Question 16: Requesting a 6-week extension on proposal/bid submission, as the duration to develop a conceptual layout (required as part of the proposal submission) takes time to develop with partner A/E design firm.

MSTS Response to Question 16: *MSTS has extended the proposal due date from 10/13/2025 to 10/27/2025. Due to the time constraints associated with this request, MSTS is unable to agree to a 6-week extension.*

17. Question 17: MSA Attachment 1-Exhibit B - Please confirm, based on MSTS response at Pre-Bid, that a "true up" of potential cost difference between FFP and 60%/90% (Class 3 & 1 estimates) will not be acknowledged/remedied.

MSTS Response to Question 17: *Incorrect. Although we are requesting firm, fixed prices for Task Orders expected to be awarded at a later time, MSTS understands there may be impacts realized between the period of proposal submittal and award of the tasks. As a result, MSTS intends to true-up the fixed prices proposed for these tasks prior to award.*

18. Question 18: Please confirm that the only fully-funded task orders (at this time) are Task Order 1 & 2. Remaining Task Orders are dependent on future FY funding.

MSTS Response to Question 18: *MSTS has determined to award Task order 1, Task Order 2, and Task Order 4 with the Master Agreement. It is MSTS's intent that with the award of these three (3) Task Order's, the successful Offeror will be able to effectively design and plan this portion of the scope.*

19. Question 19: MSA Attachment 1-Exhibit B & Task Order RFPs - Can resumes for Key Personnel be provided at the MSA response + Task Order 1 and 2 (only), as future task Order (beyond Task Order 2) are dependent on future FY funding and cannot commit key personnel for future (unfunded) task orders.

MSTS Response to Question 19: *MSTS requests bidders to provide their Key Personnel for Task Order 3 and Task Order 4 at the time of initial bid. Key Personnel will be confirmed prior to award of these tasks.*

- 20. Question 20:** Request for Proposal NO: 0019949-AJ-25 - New Mercury Essential Services Complex Design-Build Services document, section 3.2.C Technical Approach - Technical Approach requires offeror provide a "design Concept" as part of the proposal. The RFP states the design concept should include a description of each system/discipline approach for this building, a site plan, external elevation views (with labeling of material types), floor plan that demonstrates validation of programming spaces and an understanding of security requirements, and product literature of furnishings and that elevation views should depict photo realistic exterior finishes of building. This description is similar to the SOW described for Task Order 1 and is a significant amount of work to include in a proposal. Please confirm if the design concept is required for the proposal or if this work is to be performed under Task Order 1.

MSTS Response to Question 20: *A design concept is required to demonstrate design capabilities, technical proficiency and understanding of the scope. It needn't be as detailed as a completed conceptual design deliverable / phase.*

- 21. Question 21:** Attachment 1 - Exhibit B - Section 3 Description of Work, Estimating subsection (starting on page 6) calls out that development of a budgetary construction estimates and final construction estimates are required to be performed at the 60% design completion (AACE Class 3 estimate) and the 90% design completion (AACE Class 1 estimate), respectively. Neither the Task Order 2 (Civil Design) nor the Task Order 4 (23-464 Collaboration Center Design-Build) scopes of work mention performing estimates. Also, the Pricing Schedules required for all Task Orders are Firm Fixed Price. Please confirm that these estimates are required and that adjustments to the Firm Fixed Price amounts submitted as part of the RFP will be made based on the results of the final Class 1 estimate for each construction scope.

MSTS Response to Question 21: *Pending response.*

- 22. Question 22:** Is a bid bond required for the proposal?

MSTS Response to Question 22: *No, a bid bond is not required.*

- 23. Question 23:** Would you like a breakout for the cost associated for payment and performance bonds (if required)?

MSTS Response to Question 23: *Yes. Amendment 002 for RFP No. 0019967-AJ-25 and RFP No. 0019968-AJ-25 has been revised and included to separate the bonding costs from licensing and insurance.*

- 24. Question 24:** Section 4.4.1 of the RFP requires a narrative. Does the 35-page limit referenced in this Section apply only to the narrative or the entire Volume One Technical package?

MSTS Response to Question 24: *MSTS is removing the 35-page limitation through this Amendment 003.*

- 25. Question 25:** Pursuant to Section 1.1 of the RFP, please confirm that this proposal has not been designated as a small business set-aside procurement.

MSTS Response to Question 25: *RFP No. 0019949-AJ-25 for the Master Agreement as well as the subsequent Task Order RFP's (RFP No. 0019957-AJ-25 for Task Order 001, RFP No. 0019964-AJ-25 for Task Order 002, RFP No. 0019967-AJ-25 for Task Order 003, and RFP No. 0019968-AJ-25 for Task Order 004) is not being solicited as a small-business set-aside.*

- 26. Question 26:** In regard to Form 1 – Pricing Summary Index, is it required that we fill out the “Rate Schedule PLA labor” tab as the Wage Determination has been issued under separate attachment and there is a project labor agreement that governs the project?

MSTS Response to Question 26: *Yes. The Rate Schedule PLA Labor Tab should contain the wages as defined by Project Labor Agreement (PLA) and the associated company adders making up the fully burdened straight-time, overtime, and double-time rate that makes up the labor portion of your fixed price proposal.*

- 27. Question 27:** The RFP document states that we are to provide a Firm-Fixed-Price for Task Orders 1-4 based off the information provided within the RFP package. The Attachment 1 SOW and Attachment 3 Technical Requirements Documents outline a design process with multiple alternate design options with independent construction estimates throughout the design process. Is the intent to adjust the Firm-Fixed-Price provided now at the completion and approval of the design?

MSTS Response to Question 27: *Yes, please reference response to Question 17.*

- 28. Question 28:** Is there availability for onsite lodging of construction personnel? If so, can you provide terms and costs?

MSTS Response to Question 28: *Yes. Information on Housing Services is provided below. As the prices contained on the attached might be subject to change, MSTs cannot guarantee the prices stated by Housing Services. Housing Services recommends contacting them at least two (2) weeks in advance to determine availability/make reservations. Arrangements and terms will be between the Subcontractor and Housing Services.*

- 29. Question 29:** Is it possible to schedule a second site walk with potential 1st tier subcontractors and consultants?

MSTS Response to Question 29: *Due to the time constraints associated with this package, MSTs is unable to facilitate a second walk through with all bidders.*

- 30. Question 30:** In addition to our previously requested bid extension, can we have the RFI period extended one week, as well?

MSTS Response to Question 30: *MSTS hereby extends the question period from 4:00 PM on October 6, 2025 to 4:00 PM on October 15, 2025.*

- 31. Question 31:** Our Engineering Team has existing SCMC A/E Contracts with pre-negotiated unit rates that were vetted for DOE. Since these are DOE approved rates, do we need to provide detailed backup for the engineering firms as part of the cost proposal?

MSTS Response to Question 31: *Yes, detailed rates must be provided although the Offeror holds negotiated rates under an SCMC Agreement.*

- 32. Question 32:** If we have a previously completed PPQ, may we submit it with our proposal in lieu of having the client send it directly to MSTs?

MSTS Response to Question 32: *This is acceptable.*

- 33. Question 33:** Task 4 – RFP 0019968-AJ-25, 23-464 Collaboration Center New Mercury Essential Services Complex A-E, Attachment 3 Price Summary Index does not include a pricing sheet for design. Does MSTs have a spreadsheet format for design?

MSTS Response to Question 33: Attachment 3, Price Summary has been revised to incorporate Bidders offer for design services.

- 34. Question 34:** Please confirm that materials and labor rates are based on FY25 and what, if any, escalation allowance shall be made.

MSTS Response to Question 34: As award is expected to take place after the start of FY 2026, MSTs requests FY 2026 rates be utilized.

- 35. Question 35:** Section 2.3.1.1 of the Attachment 3 Technical Requirements Document - Section 2.3.1.1 of the Attachment 3 Technical Requirements Document states that one basis design and two alternate designs be provided for each task order. For this proposal submission, please confirm 1 (one) basis design is to be submitted and priced.

MSTS Response to Question 35: Confirmed only one for the proposal.

- 36. Question 36:** Attachment 3 Technical Requirements Document - I did not locate any specific landscaping requirements in the Attachment 3 Technical Requirements Document. Is anything required?

MSTS Response to Question 36: Refer to 5.4.1.3.4 in the Technical Requirements Document for landscaping requirements. Provide landscaping design.

- 37. Question 37:** TRD=220-000 – Rev 0 07/21/2025 Section 2.3.2 – Page 30/211 – Can you provide an estimate of adequate review time for the Contractor?

MSTS Response to Question 37: Response pending.

- 38. Question 38:** TRD=220-000 – Rev 0 07/21/2025 Section 2.3.2 – Page 30/211 - How will you evaluate a 50-year life span?

MSTS Response to Question 38: The lifespan is evaluated by reviewing the proposed design against the durability of selected materials their materiality and service-life planning of both the systems and the building envelope. Documents supporting this review include DOE STD 1073, DOE 430.1C, ISO 15686-1 Buildings and Constructed Assets - Service life planning.. as well as by reviewing warranties and product information in later stages of design.

- 39. Question 39:** Please confirm whether the current layout and floor plan are intended to remain largely unchanged, aside from minor modifications.

MSTS Response to Question 39: The types of spaces and their functional adjacencies as well as equipment needed to be housed should be replicated as they're required for operational requirements of the Essential Services Complex. Changes related to code, structural systems constraints, terrain constraints and general improvements to the proposed layout are requested. Changes intended to improve the design and its efficiency are encouraged.

- 40. Question 40:** Is the project site located within a designated design district? If so, are there specific requirements or guidelines regarding materials, colors, architectural style, etc.? The TRD references Mercury Buildings 23-460:63—does this imply the presence of documented design standards applicable to this area?

MSTS Response to Question 40: With the campus's modern architectural past and its mission of scientific study, the aesthetic "Scientific Modern" was established. This style predominantly reflects the Modernism movement in architecture. Representing these concepts in architecture means: A regular and orderly form (representing the systematic nature of scientific study). Exposure of connections, technology, and expression of materials (allowing them to perform architecturally without any manipulation).

Scientific Modern can be defined as architecture with systematic, functional forms and an emphasis on contemporary technology. Physical characteristics and features of Scientific Modern include:

- *Clean lines*
- *Systematic, regular forms*
- *Expression of details and connections*
- *Expression of building and material technology*
- *Building as machine (an efficient tool)*

Furthermore, this complex is meant to be the gathering space of the site and should feel inviting yet appropriate for the desert climate. For aesthetic and reference of materiality refer to buildings 23-460-462 and the buildings constructed in the Springs Preserve, Las Vegas.

- 41. Question 41:** Could the team be provided with reference materials detailing the materials, colors, and architectural styles of adjacent buildings?

MSTS Response to Question 41: See response to Question 40 above. The adjacent buildings have a combination of CMU, stone veneer, metal louvers and shades and concrete details/pilasters.

- 42. Question 42:** Are architectural elevations available for sharing with the team to support design alignment and context?

MSTS Response to Question 42: Elevations are not available at this time; however, refer to previous two responses for guidance.

- 43. Question 43:** Will this project be utilizing Specs Intact for specification development and documentation?

MSTS Response to Question 43: Response pending.

- 44. Question 44:** Exhibit B - SOW Page 5 - It notes that the Geotechnical Report will be provided by the Contractor. Can you confirm that and the timing of this report?

MSTS Response to Question 44: Response pending.

- 45. Question 45:** Can you verify that a site survey has been completed and it has been verified?

MSTS Response to Question 45: Site survey will be completed prior to design commencement but is not available at this time. The documentation available was attached to the TRD.

- 46. Question 46:** TRD=220-000 – Rev 0 07/21/2025 Section 1.3 fourth paragraph – Page 18/211 - “the scope includes site drainage improvements.” Can you briefly note are these associated with the building site or offsite improvements? If offsite improvements, can you just note?

MSTS Response to Question 46: Building site related

- 47. Question 47:** TRD=220-000 – Rev 0 07/21/2025 Section 2.2 Page 27/21 - Can you verify the need for NV PE under this section?

MSTS Response to Question 47: All engineers must be licensed in Nevada.

- 48. Question 48:** TRD=220-000 – Rev 0 07/21/2025 Section 2.4 – Page 43/211 - Second bullet down can examples be provided of documentation for a sustainable design?

MSTS Response to Question 48: Sustainable building design strategies shall be incorporated into the design of New Mercury Essential Services Complex to increase efficiency, optimize performance, eliminate unnecessary use of resources, ensure the health of occupants, and mitigates risks to assets, consistent with Agency and Department missions. The design for this building shall be compliant with the following:

- Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 433 - Energy Efficiency Standards for the Design and Construction of New Federal Commercial and Multi-Family High-Rise Residential Buildings.
 - ASHRAE 90.1-2019
- 10 CFR 436 - Agency Procurement of Energy Efficient Products (FEMP designated products)
- Green Purchasing requirements - Refer to 6.1 Federal Procurement of Products and Materials

49. Question 49: TRD=220-000 – Rev 0 07/21/2025 Section 3.1.2 Assumptions – Page 67/211 - Can you provide more details on the modifications of General Services (GS) systems only?

MSTS Response to Question 49: Response pending.

50. Question 50: TRD=220-000 – Rev 0 07/21/2025 Section 3.1.1 Constraints – Page 67/211 - Are there documented environmental commitments / requirements for the site?

MSTS Response to Question 50: Response pending.

51. Question 51: TRD=220-000 – Rev 0 07/21/2025 Section 5.3.5.1 Power Systems – Page 167/211 - Can you verify that the Primary Site Transformer will be provided by the Contractor?

MSTS Response to Question 51: Response pending.

52. Question 52: TRD=220-000 – Rev 0 07/21/2025 Section 5.4.1.4 Utilities – Page 192/2 - Have the site utility services been laid out and for the site can you provide.

MSTS Response to Question 52: Only site adjacent noted on the plans. All tie-ins related to the buildings will need to be provided.

53. Question 53: Does the site have an existing model for the site utilities built in SKM? Would this model be provided to build off of for adding the new facilities?

MSTS Response to Question 53: No.

54. Question 54: Section 3.1.2 Assumptions of TRD-220-000 - Is “ice house” from Section 3.1.2 Assumptions of TRD-220-000 the same as “ice container” from sub item 9 of Section 3.2 Site and Building Exterior Component Requirements?

MSTS Response to Question 54: Yes.

55. Question 55: Section 3.1.2 Assumptions - Section 3.1.2 Assumptions states that this project shall “provide conduits to the future location of EV charging”. Will the project installing the EV charging stations be responsible for sizing and pulling cable to the new station locations?

MSTS Response to Question 55: Provide "EV ready" design and installation.

56. Question 56: Section 5.2.2.5.3 of the TRD-220-000 - Please confirm which version of the NFPA and International Building Code (2018 or 2021 or 2024) MSTs will be enforcing. Section 5.2.2.5.3 of the TRD-220-000 references the 2018 IBC. Section 5.2.1.1.4 of the TRD implies that the governing code is not 2024 IBC.

MSTS Response to Question 56: 2018 IBC.

- 57. Question 57:** Please confirm whether or not the MSTs will enforce any Code Amendments adopted by Nye County or by the State of Nevada

MSTS Response to Question 57: All required codes are listed in the Code of Record document.

- 58. Question 58:** Is it anticipated that early design packages, for example an expedited foundation permit, will be required?

MSTS Response to Question 58: A foundation design will be needed to fully execute the civil design which is Task 3.

- 59. Question 59:** TRD section 2.5 - TRD section 2.5 discusses sustainability. Are there any sustainable design objectives related to structural materials such as recycled content of steel or carbon reduction of cement?

MSTS Response to Question 59: Only what is referenced in section 2.5 and the Code of Record.

- 60. Question 60:** Are there any DOE or local requirements for noise attenuation such as a minimum Sound Transmission Class (STC) for wall or roof assemblies?

MSTS Response to Question 60: ICC G2-2010 Guidance for acoustics and refer to any other relevant standard in the Code of Record. This complex has many spaces of different acoustical needs adjacent to each other so attention and care should be given to properly deal with the spatial and walls acoustics.

- 61. Question 61:** Please confirm if an above surface or subsurface loading dock is desired.

MSTS Response to Question 61: Above surface loading dock.

- 62. Question 62:** What level of Cx are you looking to have on the facilities? Will there be LEED or some other standard to be met?

MSTS Response to Question 62: There are no additional standards to be met like LEED or WELL or HPSB.

- 63. Question 63:** If LEED, do you request advance Cx? If so, what path and option are you looking for?

MSTS Response to Question 63: No.

- 64. Question 64:** Do you want the CM people to do constructability reviews?

MSTS Response to Question 64: Response pending.

- 65. Question 65:** RFP No. 0019949-AJ-25, Amendment 001 - To the responses for question 2&3 when will we receive the locations of the needed tie in points for the utilities? Plus describe how the 15kv circuitry/conduits will be within 5ft of the site perimeter. It was brought up at the meeting today that certain surveys have yet to be completed as it relates to the existing utilities but yet this is a critical function of the responses to the civil work and impact Task 2-4.

MSTS Response to Question 65: Response pending.

- 66. Question 66:** RFP No. 0019949-AJ-25, Amendment 001 - To the responses to question #5 define "available" is there to be a monetary cost to the bidding contractors. based on the site walk, it appears to be a lot of import is needed.

MSTS Response to Question 66: *MSTS will provide the Type 2 aggregate in support of this work. Offeror's shall identify the total quantity of Type 2 aggregate needed to perform the entirety of the scope within their proposal response. As MSTs is providing this material, Offeror's shall indicate the cost of the aggregate as \$0.00. The Offeror is responsible for all labor, equipment and any other applicable costs associated with the transport of the material from the Area 6 Batch Plant location to the job site. MSTs will provide the labor and equipment necessary to load the Type 2 aggregate onto Offeror's transport vehicles. Offeror's shall reflect the cost for transport within their proposal response, excluding any costs associated with loading the material at the batch plant.*

- 67. Question 67:** RFP No. 0019949-AJ-25, Amendment 001 - The response question #7 is temporary power available from MSTs via an overhead pole and meter base? are we to include electric consumption charges to be incurred and will there be a charge from MSTs for this temporary electric power?

MSTS Response to Question 67: *Response pending.*

- 68. Question 68:** There were certain comments that were made from the safety manager referencing the site safety plan as it relates to the corps of engineer's safety document there was a referenced document can we have the document referenced?

MSTS Response to Question 68: *Response pending.*

- 69. Question 69:** Please clarify that there is no need for secured and unsecured TR rooms, only unsecured will be needed.

MSTS Response to Question 69: *Correct.*

- 70. Question 70:** Please clarify the fire detection preferred system, Simplex, JCI, Honeywell, Siemens, Notifier and is there a particular model being requested by the Fire department

MSTS Response to Question 70: *Notifier NFS2 320 or 640. refer to table 7 in the TRD.*

- 71. Question 71:** Please clarify, that MSTs is responsible for the 15kv conduit and cabling complete to their sectionalizing switch and the testing of said cable and the contractor is responsible for the pad mount transformer and secondary cabling to it.

MSTS Response to Question 71: *Response pending.*

- 72. Question 72:** Please clarify if there is a need in Task Order 4 for a Generator or a manual transfer switch for a portable generator to feed the collaboration center.

MSTS Response to Question 72: *Task 4 - Manual transfer switch for a portable generator to feed the collaboration center.*

- 73. Question 73:** Please clarify if the site has specific structured cabling criteria for the category cables related to warranties and what warranty criteria are requested?

MSTS Response to Question 73: *Refer to the TRD for cabling requirements.*

- 74. Question 74:** Based on some ambiguity and the need for a lot of answers we formally ask for a 2–3-week extension to allow MSTs survey information and other requested items to be provided.

MSTS Response to Question 74: *Unfortunately, MSTs is unable to provide an extension at this time.*

75. Question 75: There are no Workgroup Classifications for Glaziers. I can insert them, but there are 14 different levels (40% apprentice to Foreman). There is no classification for Glaziers in the NNSS contract. It is my understanding that the contract is so old that we still fall under Painters and Allied Trades. I also need to know what Group Number to assign.

MSTS Response to Question 75: *Glaziers can be found in Appendix A, under Section A, Classifications and Wage Rates of Attachment 10 - MSTS PLA Agreement of RFP No. 0019949-AJ-25 (Refer to pdf page 129). In accordance with this Section, Glazier falls under the Group 3 Classification.*

76. Question 76: Is the intent to build and occupy the cafeteria prior to the start of construction on the warehouse? Meaning, will the cafeteria be occupied while the construction is going on the other side of the wall? – The answer given was yes. (The proposal may need a plan on how this will be done safely allowing egress from the occupied structure as well as fire department access for emergencies.)

MSTS Response to Question 76: *Yes. This is correct. During construction of the warehouse, assume no access/egress through the warehouse area.*

77. Question 77: Wondering if there will be a need for a CO2 detection system? The preliminary plan shows an area for CO2 storage (for carbonated beverages) but the amount of CO2 to be stored may or may not trigger the need for a detection system.

MSTS Response to Question 77: *The CO2 tanks are for the soda machine, please conduct appropriate research to confirm the need for the CO2 detection system.*

78. Question 78: Fire extinguishers may need to be placed within each of the 4 sections in the collaboration building large conference room area. When the walls are closed, each section will need to be able to reach a fire extinguisher.

MSTS Response to Question 78: *Identify this within the independent estimates per task order.*

79. Question 79: The large conference room in the collaboration building is missing required exit doors. When the room is divided, each of the 4 rooms will need 2 doors out of each space. This is due to the square footage and occupant load (more than 50 people) of each room.

MSTS Response to Question 79: *Account for this within the design portion of the collaboration center.*

80. Question 80: Will the cafeteria and the warehouse each have its own fire sprinkler and fire alarm system? Or will they share one system among two separate buildings? – The answer given by the architect is that each building is completely independent and will have its own utilities including the fire sprinkler and fire alarm systems. (This is important to note for bidding purposes as two separate systems are a large cost. Each building will require its own FSS riser, FACP, and PIV respectively.

MSTS Response to Question 80: *The designer of record is responsible for reviewing the Code of Record and determining the best way of separation of the two buildings per IBC and NFPA. The solution shown on the reference plans in the TRD would require this approach, however the Code of Record should be referenced for final design approach by the architect and fire protection engineer of the A/E designer of record.*

81. Question 81: Is a Schedule of Values required for the Master Agreement and all four (4) Task Orders?

MSTS Response to Question 81: *Yes.*

82. Question 82: There are no Workgroup Classifications for Carpet Layers. I need to know what Group Number to assign.

MSTS Response to Question 82: Carpet Layers can be found in Appendix A, under Section A, Classifications and Wage Rates of Attachment 10 - MSTS PLA Agreement of RFP No. 0019949-AJ-25 (Refer to pdf page 129). In accordance with this Section, Carpet Layers fall under the Group 3 Classification.

83. Question 83: Could you please explain what needs to go into the pricing for each proposal response?

MSTS Response to Question 83: For the Master Agreement, Form 001 – Attachment 4 – Pricing Summary Should be completed include a breakdown of all labor, materials and equipment expected to be used for the totality of the scope. It should also contain the firm, fixed prices of Task Orders 1 – 4 which will be used to set the “ceiling value” of the Master. In addition, Form 002 – Pricing for Changes should also be provided, which will be the basis for changes during administration of the Subcontract.

For Task Order 1 – 4 , Attachment 3 – Compensation Schedule – Pricing Summary should contain the breakdown of the firm, fixed costs for each respective task. The firm, fixed amounts should be consistent with the totals provided in the Master Agreement response.

No other changes are authorized by this Amendment.

When submitting your proposal, please acknowledge receipt of this amendment by indicating on the Offeror’s Proposal Letter the number of amendments.